

# Existence of Good and Best Approximations on Unbounded Domains by Exponential Sums in Several Independent Variables\*

DAVID W. KAMMLER†

*Department of Mathematics, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois 62901*

*Communicated by E. W. Cheney*

Received January 16, 1975

In this paper we consider the problem of using exponential sums to approximate a given complex-valued function  $f$  defined on the possibly unbounded domain  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We establish the existence of a best approximation from the set of exponential sums having order at most  $n$  and formulate a Weierstrass-type density theorem. In so doing we extend previously known results which apply only in the special cases where  $\mathcal{D}$  is bounded or where  $m = 1$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a nonvoid open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  let  $L_p(\mathcal{D})$  be defined in the usual manner with  $\|\cdot\|_p$  being the associated norm. Let  $C_0(\mathcal{D})$  denote the space of those functions  $f \in C(\mathcal{D})$  having the property that given any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $K \subset \mathcal{D}$  such that  $|f(\mathbf{t})| < \epsilon$  whenever  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{D} \setminus K$ . A function  $y \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$  will be called an exponential sum of order  $n$  provided that the linear space  $\mathcal{L}[y]$  spanned by the functions

$$[D_1^{j_1} \cdots D_m^{j_m}] y(\mathbf{t}), \quad j_1, \dots, j_m = 0, 1, \dots, \quad D_i = \partial/\partial t_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

has dimension  $n$ , cf. [2, p. 143]. Given  $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , we define  $V_n(S)$  to be the set of all exponential sums  $y$  of order at most  $n$  which can be expressed in the form

$$y(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{j=1}^l p_j(\mathbf{t}) \exp(\lambda_j \cdot \mathbf{t})$$

\* This research was sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Air Force Systems Command, USAF, under AFOSR Grant Number 74-2653. The U. S. Government's right to retain a nonexclusive royalty-free license in and to copyright covering this paper is acknowledged.

† Department of Mathematics, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois 62901.

where  $p_1(\mathbf{t}), \dots, p_l(\mathbf{t})$  are polynomials in the components  $t_1, \dots, t_m$  of  $\mathbf{t}$ , where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l \in \mathbf{S}$ , and where  $\lambda_j \cdot \mathbf{t} = \lambda_{j1}t_1 + \dots + \lambda_{jm}t_m$ , cp. [2, p. 144]. We also define

$$V_x(\mathbf{S}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n(\mathbf{S}).$$

In this paper we shall establish a Weierstrass-type density theorem by showing that  $V_x(\mathbf{S})$  is a dense subset of  $L_p(\mathcal{G})$  if  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and of  $C_0(\mathcal{G})$  if  $p = \infty$  provided that  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  satisfy mild hypotheses. We also establish the existence of a best  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -approximation to a given  $f$  from the set  $V_n(\mathbf{S})$  when  $\mathbf{S}$  is closed. In so doing we extend corresponding results from [3] which apply in the special case where  $m = 1$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a semi-infinite interval and results from [2] which apply when  $m = 1$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is bounded.

## 2. THE SPECTRAL SET OF $\mathcal{G}$

Given a nonvoid open set  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  we define the corresponding spectral set  $U_p(\mathcal{G})$  to be the set of those  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^m$  for which the exponential sum  $y(\mathbf{t}) = \exp[\lambda \cdot \mathbf{t}]$  lies in  $L_p(\mathcal{G})$ . For example, for the positive cone

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m : t_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} U_p(\mathcal{G}) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^m : \operatorname{Re} \lambda_i < 0, i = 1, \dots, m\} && \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ U_\infty(\mathcal{G}) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^m : \operatorname{Re} \lambda_i \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}. \end{aligned}$$

In general,  $U_p(\mathcal{G})$  is convex. Indeed when  $p = \infty$  the convexity is immediate, and when  $1 \leq p < \infty$  we may use Hölder's inequality to show that  $\lambda_1/p_1 + \lambda_2/p_2 \in U_p(\mathcal{G})$  whenever  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in U_p(\mathcal{G})$ ,  $p_1 \geq 1$ ,  $p_2 \geq 1$ , and  $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1$ . Moreover, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} U_p(\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2) &= U_p(\mathcal{G}_1) \cap U_p(\mathcal{G}_2) && \text{if } \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m, \\ U_p(\alpha\mathcal{G} + \mathbf{t}) &= (1/\alpha) U_p(\mathcal{G}) && \text{if } \alpha > 0, \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m, \text{ and } \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$U_p(\mathcal{G}) = (1/p) U_1(\mathcal{G}) \quad \text{if } \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \text{ and } 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is bounded we obviously have  $U_p(\mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{C}^m$ . On the other hand, if  $U_p(\mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  must have finite measure in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  but need not be bounded, e.g., as is the case when  $m = 2$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is the "Gaussian star"

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t_1^2 \leq \exp[-t_2^2] \text{ or } t_2^2 \leq \exp[-t_1^2]\}.$$

In view of the following lemma, the interior,  $U_p^0(\mathcal{D})$ , of the spectral set will be of importance in the subsequent analysis.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a nonvoid open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then  $V_\infty(U_p^0(\mathcal{D})) \subset L_p(\mathcal{D})$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that when  $\lambda \in U_p^0(\mathcal{D})$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_m$  are nonnegative integers with sum  $k \geq 0$  the exponential sum

$$y(\mathbf{t}) = t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_m^{k_m} \cdot \exp[\lambda \cdot \mathbf{t}]$$

lies in  $L_p(\mathcal{D})$ . Accordingly, let  $\delta > 0$  be chosen so small that for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $\sigma = \pm 1$  the exponential sum

$$y_{i\sigma}(\mathbf{t}) = \exp[\lambda \cdot \mathbf{t} + \delta\sigma t_i]$$

lies in  $L_p(\mathcal{D})$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $\sigma = \pm 1$  we define the cone

$$H_{i\sigma} = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m : \max[|t_1|, \dots, |t_m|] = \sigma t_i\}.$$

We let  $\chi_{i\sigma}$  denote the characteristic function of  $H_{i\sigma}$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} |y(\mathbf{t}) \chi_{i\sigma}(\mathbf{t})| &= |t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_m^{k_m} \cdot \exp[-\delta\sigma t_i] \cdot y_{i\sigma}(\mathbf{t}) \cdot \chi_{i\sigma}(\mathbf{t})| \\ &\leq M \cdot |y_{i\sigma}(\mathbf{t})|, \quad \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = \max\{\tau^k \cdot \exp[-\delta\tau] : \tau \geq 0\} = [k/(\delta e)]^k.$$

Using this pointwise bound we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_p &= \left\| \sum_{i,\sigma} y \cdot \chi_{i\sigma} \right\|_p \\ &\leq \sum_{i,\sigma} \|y \cdot \chi_{i\sigma}\|_p \\ &\leq M \cdot \sum_{i,\sigma} \|y_{i\sigma}\|_p < \infty \end{aligned}$$

so that  $y \in L_p(\mathcal{D})$ . ■

We note that it is possible for  $U_p(\mathcal{D})$  to have no interior points, e.g., as is the situation when  $m = 2$  and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |t_1| < (1 + t_2^2)^{-1} \text{ or } |t_2| < (1 + t_1^2)^{-1}\}$$

in which case

$$U_p(\mathcal{D}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \operatorname{Re} \lambda_1 = \operatorname{Re} \lambda_2 = 0\}.$$

## 3. EXISTENCE OF GOOD APPROXIMATIONS

Before presenting a density theorem we first prepare two lemmas.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $f \in C_0[0, \infty)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists some even polynomial  $p$  such that*

$$|f(t) - p(t)e^{-t}| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } 0 < t < \infty. \quad (1)$$

If  $f(0) = 0$ , then (1) also holds for some odd polynomial  $p$ .

*Proof.* Using Pollard's solution of the Bernstein approximation problem [4, Theorem 1, p. 403] (with  $\Phi(t) = e^{-t}$  and with the sequence of partial sums from the Maclaurin series for  $\cosh t$ ) we see that the set of finite linear combinations of the functions

$$t^\mu e^{-t} \quad \mu = 0, 1, \dots$$

is dense in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . This being the case there exists some polynomial  $q$  such that

$$|f(-t) - q(t)e^{-t}| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } -\infty < t < \infty$$

and it follows that (1) holds with the even polynomial

$$p(t) = [q(t) + q(-t)]/2.$$

A similar construction shows that (1) holds for an odd polynomial  $p$  provided  $f(0) = 0$ . ■

LEMMA 3. *For each  $i = 1, \dots, m$  let  $f_i \in C_0[0, \infty)$  have a compact support, and let the separable function*

$$f(\mathbf{t}) = f_1(t_1) \cdots f_m(t_m)$$

be defined for all  $\mathbf{t}$  in the nonnegative cone

$$\mathbb{R}_+^m = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m : t_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Let the parity constant  $\pi_i = \pm 1$  be chosen subject to the constraint that  $\pi_i = \mp 1$  if  $f_i(0) \neq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$  be given. Then there exist polynomials  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  such that

$$p_i(-t_i) = \pi_i \cdot p_i(t_i), \quad -\infty < t_i < \infty, \quad (2)$$

$i = 1, \dots, m$  and such that the separable exponential sum

$$y(\mathbf{t}) = [p_1(t_1) e^{-\delta t_1}] \cdots [p_m(t_m) e^{-\delta t_m}] \quad (3)$$

uniformly approximates  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^m$  so well that

$$|f(\mathbf{t}) - y(\mathbf{t})| < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m. \tag{4}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  denote the sup norm on  $C_0[0, \infty)$ , let

$$B = \max\{\|f_1\|_\infty, \dots, \|f_m\|_\infty\},$$

and for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$  let a polynomial  $p_i$  satisfying the parity constraint (2) be selected in such a manner that the function

$$\epsilon_i(t_i) = f_i(t_i) - p_i(t_i) e^{-\delta t_i}, \quad t_i \geq 0 \tag{5}$$

has norm

$$\|\epsilon_i\|_\infty < \beta \tag{6}$$

where  $\beta > 0$  is chosen so small that

$$(B + \beta)^m - B^m < \epsilon. \tag{7}$$

Such polynomials exist by virtue of Lemma 1. Let  $y$  be defined by (3). Using Eqs. (3) and (5)–(7) we find

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\mathbf{t}) - y(\mathbf{t})| &= \left| \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(t_i) - \prod_{i=1}^m [f_i(t_i) - \epsilon_i(t_i)] \right| \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^m [ |f_i(t_i)| + |\epsilon_i(t_i)| ] - \prod_{i=1}^m |f_i(t_i)| \\ &\leq (B + \beta)^m - B^m \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  so (4) holds. ■

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a nonvoid open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and assume that the point  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^m$  lies in the interior of the spectral set  $U_p(\mathcal{D})$ . Then  $V_\infty(\{\lambda\})$  is dense in  $L_p(\mathcal{D})$  if  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and in  $C_0(\mathcal{D})$  if  $p = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be arbitrarily chosen from  $L_p(\mathcal{D})$  if  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and from  $C_0(\mathcal{D})$  if  $p = \infty$ . We must show that we may  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -approximate  $f$  as closely as we please with the elements of  $V_\infty(\{\lambda\})$ . Since the space  $\mathcal{S}$  of continuous functions having compact support is dense in  $L_p(\mathcal{D})$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and in  $C_0(\mathcal{D})$  we may assume (with no loss of generality) that  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ . Moreover, since the subalgebra,  $\mathcal{A}$ , of finite linear combinations of separable functions is  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -dense in  $\mathcal{S}$  (as can be seen with the aid of the Stone–Weierstrass

theorem [1, p. 191]) we may further assume that  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  or equivalently, that  $f$  has the representation

$$f(\mathbf{t}) = \varphi(\mathbf{t}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{t}), \quad \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (8)$$

where

$$\varphi(\mathbf{t}) = \varphi_1(t_1) \cdots \varphi_m(t_m), \quad \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (9)$$

and where  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  are continuous functions with compact support. Finally, since each  $\varphi_i$  may be replaced by the sum of its even and odd parts, we may still further assume that each  $\varphi_i$  has definite parity  $\pi_i = \pm 1$ , i.e.,

$$\varphi_i(-t_i) = \pi_i \cdot \varphi_i(t_i), \quad t_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (10)$$

By hypothesis  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  lies in the interior of  $U_p(\mathcal{L})$  and thus there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that each of the exponential sums

$$y_j(\mathbf{t}) = \exp[\boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{t} + \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \cdot \mathbf{t}], \quad j = 1, \dots, 2^m$$

lies in  $L_p(\mathcal{L})$  where  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j, j = 1, \dots, 2^m$ , is an enumeration of the  $2^m$  vectors  $(\pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)$  from  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We define

$$s(\mathbf{t}) = \{ |t_1| + \cdots + |t_m| \}, \quad \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

noting that the function

$$\psi(\mathbf{t}) = \exp[\boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{t} + \delta s(\mathbf{t})]$$

also lies in  $L_p(\mathcal{L})$  since

$$\|\psi\|_p \leq \sum_j \|y_j\|_p < \infty$$

and that  $\|\psi\|_p > 0$  since  $\mathcal{L}$  is nonvoid.

Now let  $\epsilon > 0$  be selected. In view of Lemma 3 there exists some separable polynomial

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = p_1(t_1) \cdots p_m(t_m)$$

such that  $p_i$  and  $\varphi_i$  have the same parity  $\pi_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ , and such that

$$\sup\{|E(\mathbf{t})| : t_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\} < \epsilon / \|\psi\|_p$$

where

$$E(\mathbf{t}) = [\varphi(\mathbf{t}) - p(\mathbf{t})] \exp[-\delta s(\mathbf{t})], \quad \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Since  $p_i$  and  $\varphi_i$  have the same parity it follows that

$$\|E\|_\infty < \epsilon / \|\psi\|_p.$$

This being the case the exponential sum

$$y(\mathbf{t}) = p(\mathbf{t}) \exp(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{t})$$

from  $V_\infty(\{\lambda\})$  satisfies

$$\|f - y\|_p = \|E\psi\|_p \leq \|E\|_\infty \cdot \|\psi\|_p < \epsilon$$

and since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, the proof is complete. ■

#### 4. EXISTENCE OF BEST APPROXIMATIONS

The following result is an extension of the existence theorem presented in [2] for the case where  $\mathcal{D}$  is bounded.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a nonvoid open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$  be closed, let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and let  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then every  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{D})$  has a best  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -approximation from  $V_n(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{D}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_2 \subseteq \dots$  be an expanding sequence of nonvoid bounded open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with union  $\mathcal{D}$ , and for each  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots$  let the seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_{p,\mu}$  be defined on  $L_p(\mathcal{D})$  by

$$\|f\|_{p,\mu} = \|f \cdot \chi_\mu\|_p \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\mu(\mathbf{t}) &= 1 && \text{if } \mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{D}_\mu, \\ &= 0 && \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Let  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{D})$  be selected, and let the minimizing sequence  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  be chosen from  $V_n(S)$  in such a manner that

$$\lim \|f - y_\nu\|_p = \inf\{\|f - y\|_p : y \in V_n(S)\}.$$

This sequence is  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -bounded and thus  $\|\cdot\|_{p,\mu}$ -bounded for each fixed  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots$ . This being the case, we see by using the lemma in [2] that after passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may effect a decomposition

$$y_\nu = v_\nu + x_\nu \quad \text{where } v_\nu, x_\nu \in V_n(S), \nu = 1, 2, \dots \tag{13}$$

and find some  $v \in V_n(\bar{S}) = V_n(S)$  such that

$$\lim \|v_\nu - v\|_{p,\mu} = 0, \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots \tag{14}$$

$$\liminf \|g + x_\nu\|_{p,\mu} \geq \|g\|_{p,\mu} \quad \text{for every } g \in L_p(\mathcal{D}), \mu = 1, 2, \dots \tag{15}$$

This being the case

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - v\|_{p,\mu} &\leq \liminf \|f - v - x_v\|_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq \liminf \|f - y_v\|_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq \liminf \|f - y_v\|_p \\ &= \inf\{\|f - y\|_p : y \in V_n(\mathbf{S})\} \end{aligned}$$

for each  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots$ , and since  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup \mathcal{D}_\mu$  we have

$$\|f - v\|_p \leq \inf\{\|f - y\|_p : y \in V_n(\mathbf{S})\}.$$

Since  $v \in V_n(\mathbf{S})$  equality must hold, i.e.,  $v$  is a best  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -approximation to  $f$  from  $V_n(\mathbf{S})$ . ■

*Note.* In the preceding theorem the blanket hypothesis that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a nonvoid open set can be weakened to the hypothesis that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a measurable set with a nonvoid interior and with a boundary having zero measure. When  $\mathcal{D}$  is bounded, the closure of  $\mathbf{S}$  is a necessary and sufficient condition for every  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{D})$  to have a best  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -approximation from  $V_n(\mathbf{S})$ , but when  $\mathcal{D}$  is unbounded this closure hypothesis is not the best possible. For example, when  $m = 1$  or  $n = 1$ , a necessary and sufficient condition for existence is that  $\mathbf{S}$  be closed in  $U_p(\mathcal{D})$ , cp. [3, Theorem 3]. Unfortunately, when  $n \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 2$  this is no longer the case, and no such optimum closure hypothesis for  $\mathbf{S}$  is known in this situation.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a nonvoid open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and let  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{D})$ . Let  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and let  $\mathbf{S}$  be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_2 \subset \dots$  be an expanding sequence of nonvoid bounded open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with union  $\mathcal{D}$ , and for each  $v = 1, 2, \dots$  let  $y_v$  be a best  $\|\cdot\|_{p,v}$ -approximation to  $f$  from  $V_n(\mathbf{S})$  where the seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_{p,v}$  is defined by (11) and (12). Let some subsequence of  $\{y_v\}$  and some  $v \in V_n(\mathbf{S})$  be selected so that (13)–(15) hold. Then  $v$  is a best  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -approximation to  $f$  from  $V_n(\mathbf{S})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y$  be a best  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -approximation to  $f$  from  $V_n(\mathbf{S})$ . Then for each fixed  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - v\|_{p,\mu} &\leq \liminf \|f - v - x_v\|_{p,\mu} \\ &= \liminf \|f - y_v\|_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq \liminf \|f - y_v\|_{p,v} \\ &\leq \liminf \|f - y\|_{p,v} \\ &= \|f - y\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\|f - v\|_p \leq \|f - y\|_p,$$

i.e.,  $v$  is a best approximation. ■



## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am indebted to E. W. Cheney for directing me to the material in [4] which facilitated the proof of Lemma 2.

## REFERENCES

1. E. W. CHENEY, "Introduction to Approximation Theory," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
2. D. W. KAMMLER, Existence of best approximations by exponential sums in several independent variables, *J. Approximation Theory* **11** (1974), 143-148.
3. D. W. KAMMLER, Approximation with sums of exponentials in  $L_p[0, \infty)$ , *J. Approximation Theory* **16** (1976), 384-408.
4. H. POLLARD, The Bernstein approximation problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1955), 402-411.